

IN THE DRAWINGS

Please amend Figs. 1, 2, 10, 11, 15, and 25 as shown in the attached replacement sheet, a marked copy of which is also enclosed herewith to show the changes made.

Remarks

Status of the Application

Drawings are objected to for not showing every element recited in the claims and for other informalities. Specification is objected to for failure to provide proper antecedent basis for the claims and for other informalities. Claims 1, 4, 5, 7, 8, 12, and 14 are objected to for informalities. Claims 1-5, 7-14, 16, and 17 are rejected under 35 USC 112, second paragraph. Otherwise, claims 1-5, 7-14, 16, and 17 would be allowable.

Applicant has amended claims 1-5, 7-14, 16 and 17, and canceled claims 18-22. Applicant has also amended Figs. 1, 2, 10, 11, 15, and 25. No new matter adds through the amendments. For the reasons discussed below, withdrawal of the objections and rejections is requested.

Drawings

The drawings were objected to for not showing every element recited in the claims and for containing reference characters not mentioned in the specification.

Applicant has amended the claims and Figs. 1, 2, 10, 11, and 15 to remove unmentioned reference characters, and amended Fig. 25 to add the legend "Prior Art".

Withdrawal of the objection is requested.

Specification

Specification is objected to for failure to provide proper antecedent basis for the claims and for other informalities.

The Office Action asserted that "the limitation "arranged on the inner side..." (of claims 1 and 3) is absent from the original filed specification, as Figs 2-4, 13, and 15 show that the "position-limiting part (16)" are arranged in (or within) the inner side of the mould seats. ... as these "position-limiting parts (16)" are only formed on the inner side of the mould seats (6,7) as an integrated body"

Applicant respectfully disagrees with the Examiner's above conclusion.

On page 12, lines 6-8, the original specification describes that "**In the present invention, a**

plurality of straightedges 16 may be fixed on the inner side of the mould seats 6, 7 or formed with the mould seats as an integrated body. There is no limitation here.”

Reading the above paragraph and other parts of the specification as a whole, it is clear that the straightedges [position-limiting parts] 16 can be formed as an integrated part of the mould seats or separately fixed in the inner side of the mould seats. It is not limited to being an integrated part of the mould seats. As for the drawings, the drawings do not specifically show the structure of position-limiting parts 16 separate from and formed on the inner side of the mould seats. However, such a structure can be easily understood by a person of ordinary skill in the art in light of the teaching of the specification and the drawings.

For reasons discussed above, the above features recited in claims 1 and 3 are supported by the original disclosures.

Other informalities in the specification have been corrected.

Withdrawal of the objection to the specification is requested.

Claim Objections

Claims 1, 4, 5, 7, 8, 12, and 14 are objected to for informalities.

Applicant has amended those claims to correct the informalities.

Withdrawal of the objection is requested.

Claim Rejections- 35 U.S.C. 112, Second Paragraph

Claims 1-5, 7-14, 16, and 17 are rejected under 35 USC 112, second paragraph.

The Office Action asserted that “the limitations “arranged on the inner side...” (of claims 1 and 3) and ‘a plurality of position-limiting parts (16) are fixed on the inner side of the mould seats’ (of claim 2) are not only absent from the drawing and the original filed specification, but it is also believed that the applicant intended for the embodiments of figures 2-4, 13, and 15 to be claimed.”

Applicant respectfully disagrees with the Examiner’s above conclusion.

On page 12, lines 6-8, and several other locations, the original specification describes that **“In the present invention, a plurality of straightedges 16 may be fixed on the inner side of the mould seats 6, 7 or formed with the mould seats as an integrated body. There is no limitation here.”**

Reading the above paragraph of the specification as a whole, it is clear that the straightedges [position-limiting parts] 16 can be formed as an integrated part of the mould seats or separately fixed in the inner side of the mould seats. It is not limited to being an integrated part of the mould seats. In light of the teachings of the specification and the drawings, a person of ordinary skill in the art can easily make and use such a structure of the position-limiting parts of the present invention.

For reasons discussed above, the above features recited in claims 1 and 3 are supported by the original disclosures.

Applicant has carefully reviewed and amended the claims to correct other informalities. It is believed the amendments made to the claims overcome the rejections.

Withdrawal of the rejection is requested.


Conclusion

In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, it is respectfully submitted that the application is now in condition for allowance. Allowance of this application is earnestly solicited.

Dated: 3-31-2009

4 Venture, Suite 250
Irvine, California 92618
Tel.: (949) 660-0761

Respectfully submitted,
J.C. PATENTS

By: 
Jiawei Huang
Registration No. 43,330